

¹CERN, Geneva

²UNESP, São Paulo



³Mackenzie Presbyterian University





<u>Andrei Gheata¹</u>, Guilherme Amadio², Calebe de Paula Bianchini^{2,3}, Federico Carminati¹ (*project PI*), Sofia Vallecorsa¹ and Sandro Wenzel¹ for the *GeantV* Project (geant.web.cern.ch) andrei.gheata@cern.ch

Introduction

High energy physics experiments such as the ones at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN have been using so far most of their worldwide distributed CPU budget – in the range of half a million CPU-years equivalent - to simulate the transport through matter and the effects produced by particles generated in the initial collisions. These simulations are fundamental for understanding both the detector performance and the physics outcome of such an experiment.

The most computing-intensive components of such simulations are the geometry modeling, handling navigation in setups containing millions of objects, and physics, embedding state of the art knowledge of physics models.

Geometry redesign for vectorization

VecGeom is a complete geometry modeler evolved from legacy geometry libraries (Geant4, USolids, ROOT TGeo). It introduces a many-particle API besides the standard scalar one, and relies on templated backend abstraction to enable both platform/architecture specific optimizations and vector/scalar API polymorphism.

Vec(torized)Geom(etry) = Evolved Usolids + many-particle API + geometry mode/navigation VecGeom can run chains of algorithms in vector/ 1024 SIMI vector flow particles | particles | max Intel Ivy-Bridge (AVX) ~2.8x ~4x pick next 4x aughter volume Intel Haswell (AVX2) ~3x ~5x 4x SIMD transform Intel Xeon Phi (AVX-512) ~4.8 ~4.1 8x oordinates to aughter frame

Overall performance for a toy detector (4 boxes, 3 tubes, 2 cones) vs. to ROOT/5.34.17 (http://arxiv.org/pdf/1312.0816.pdf)

Vectorization performance for shape navigation (left) and physics (right) on a Xeon Phi COPRQ-7120



end – Intel (R) Xeon Phi(TM)

SIMD

SIMD

distToOutside

daughtervol

odate step



We have compared the scalar Haswell performance for GeantV navigation in full CMS (one of the major LHC experiments) geometry. Left, real time for the simulation of 10 pp events at 7TeV using the new VecGom package instead of the existing ROOT geometry. Right side, the resident memory of the full application after compacting the navigation states.





vectors of particles

GeantV - Next generation simulation prototype

Rethinking particle transport to leverage vectorization



The X-Ray benchmark: Can we harness the Phi for detector simulations?

Scalability of the basketizer behaves better using OMP balanced

- Approaches well the ideal curve up to native cores count
- Expected performance degradation as more threads are allocated

The balanced model converges towards the compact model as all the thread slots are filled

• It's worth to run Xeon Phi saturated for our application



The X-Ray benchmark tests geometry navigation in a real detector geometry, which is one of the main components of GeantV. *X-Ray* comes from the fact that one takes a detector volume (can be the full detector) and scans it with virtual rays (with starting points disposed in a grid) along a given direction and with a given resolution (input parameters). Each ray is propagated from boundary to boundary using the *VecGeom* navigator, and the number of crossings is counted until the volume is exited on the other side.

Using a simplified geometry setup emulating a detector tracking system (embedded cylinders). Dispatching one full scan (image) per task

Scalar case: Simple loop over pixels, generating a ray Ideal vectorization case: Fill vectors with N times the same X-ray, using this as reference for the maximum achievable vectorization

Realistic (basket) case: Fill baskets per geometry volume as particles are entering (as in GeantV)



Gaining up to 4.5 from vectorization when making use of all vector pipelines in the realistic basket case, approaching the ideal vectorization case (when no regrouping of vectors is done).



• Vector starvation starts to pop-in fast when filling more thread slots than the core count, but the performance loss is not dramatic

• We get expected better vectorization compared to the Sandy-Bridge host The throughput tests were currently done on a single KNC card COPRQ-7120P, extended to reflect a 2 card scenario

• The throughput performance for a saturated KNC is equivalent (for this setup) to the dual Xeon E5-2650L@1.8GHz server which hosts the card.

Scalar interfa struct ScalarBacken

typedef double doub typedef bool bool t static const bool IsSc static const bool IsSI

Offloading simulations on the KNC

We have tested the functionality of running GeantV tasks (scalar X-Ray benchmark) in offload mode, in a heterogeneous environment having one host and 2 Xeon[©] Phi cards. This was a preliminary performance measurement before enabling vectorization in our benchmark. The offload was split among the host and 2 Xeon Phi cards, demonstrating good scalability.

2 x Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2670 (2 x 16 threads, 2.60GHz, 64GB RAM)

2x Xeon Phi COQS-3120 P/A (224 threads, 57 cores, 6GB GDDR5)

Profiling with Intel Performance Tools

The performance tools were extensively used to understand the current performance of GeantV. Below is an illustration of the VTune outputs for the X-Ray benchmark done on a Xeon[®] Phi[®].



Good vectorizat thread activity for the X-Ray ba benchmark on core COPRQ-71



Backends and interfaces

Long-term maintainability of the code implies writing one single version of each algorithm and specializing it for the different platforms/technologies using template programming and low level optimized libraries.

• A Xeon®Phi MicVec backend based on intrinsics is in production, inheriting from *F64vec8* class, allowing also to run in offload mode • A general vectorized backend is implemented using the Vc library (code.compeng.uni-frankfurt.de/projects/vc)

Backends exist for scalar, CUDA, CILK+, Vc and can be extended to platform/library dependent implementations

stance(double);	Vc::double_v distance(Vc::double_v);
ice	Vector interface
emplate <class backend<br="">Backend::double_t common_distance_func // Algorithm using Ba</class>	d> tion(Backend::double_t input) ackend types
e_t; alar=true; 4D=false;	<pre>struct VectorBackend { typedef Vc::double_v double_t; typedef Vc::double_m bool_t; static const boolIsScalar=false; static const bool IsSIMD=true; };</pre>



Poor			Ok			Idea		
	Simultane	ously Utilized Logical CPUs						
arithedian.								
						Thread		
						Context Swi		
						Preemp		
	OMP Worker Thread #48 (TID: 17771)			Synchr				
				CPU Time				
Provide the second s	CPU Time 98.1%			□ ♥ Hardware				
	Spin and Overhead Time			CPU Time				
					0.0%			
ocoss	Grouping:	Function / Call Stack						
	V Any Inread V Any Module				a 1 11			
Function / Call Stack			ll Stack	CPI	Cache Usage	Vectoriza	ition Usage	
		ranctorry ea	black	Ra.	Estimated La	Vectorizati 🗸	L1 C	L2 Com
tion intensity.	LayerNavigator<(int)9>::ComputeStepsAndPropagatedStates			4.8.	64.071	15.000	6.164	32.143
	▷LayerNavigator<(int)5>::ComputeStepsAndPropagatedStates			5.3.	83.100	11.421	6.889	43.400
	▷WorldNavigator<(bool)1>::ComputeStepsAndPropagatedStates			4.6.	238.012	11.417	7.621	212.024
and core usad	LayerNavigator<(int)8>::ComputeStepsAndPropagatedStates			7.2.	134.933	10.545	8.529	77.333
and core usay	LayerNavi	gator<(int)3>::ComputeSte	psAndPropagatedStates	3.6.	119.028	10.095	3.447	58.889
1 1 1	↓LayerNavi	gator<(int)4>::ComputeSter	psAndPropagatedStates	5.0.	113.333	10.038	6.868	62.143
asketized	▶LayerNavi	gator<(int)2>::ComputeSter	psAndPropagatedStates	4.4.	108.250	9.850	3.122	37.885
donotizod	▶LayerNavi	gator<(int)7>::ComputeSter	psAndPropagatedStates	6.4.	107.808	8.867	7.112	51.154
- Veen Dhi (61	▷vecgeom:	:cxx::TubeUtilities::CircleTra	jectoryIntersection <vecgeom::cxx< td=""><td>4.6.</td><td>395.789</td><td>8.794</td><td>10.222</td><td>629.474</td></vecgeom::cxx<>	4.6.	395.789	8.794	10.222	629.474
	▷vecgeom:	:cxx::TubeImplementation<	(int)0, (int)512, vecgeom::cxx::Tub	4.1.	154.214	8.501	13.537	298.304
	↓LayerNavi	gator<(int)1>::ComputeSter	psAndPropagatedStates	4.1.	85.881	8.069	4.156	39.661
120 D)	◊vecgeom:	:cxx::TubeImplementation<	(int)0, (int)512, vecgeom::cxx::Tub	4.0.	520.905	7.573	12.393	1,139.524
120 Г ј	▶LayerNavi	gator<(int)6>::ComputeSter	psAndPropagatedStates	6.2.	105.594	5.250	6.199	52.500
-								